

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,871	09/19/2000	Francois Mach	EGYP 3.0-009	5326
7590 01/27/2004			EXAMINER	
IVOR R. ELRIFI, ESQ. MINTZ LEVIN			HUI, SAN MING R	
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
BOSTON, MA 02111			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	-	09/664,871	MACH, FRANCOIS					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		San-ming Hui	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION on softime may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the read patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however n. a reply within the statutory minimu eriod will apply and will expire SIX statute. cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 September 2003						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	,					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6 and 15-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-6, and 15-20 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachment								
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) ☐ Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er: .					

Application/Control Number: 09/664,871

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendments filed September 3, 2003 have been entered.

The cancellation of claims 35-37 in amendments filed September 3, 2003 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 15-20 are pending.

The outstanding rejections set forth in the previous office action mailed July 15, 2003 are withdrawn in view of the amendments filed September 3, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McLane et al. (US Patent 5,021,451).

McLane et al. teaches lovastatin, the preferred compound therein, as useful for treating psoriasis in a dosage of 10-80 mg or 10-50mg daily orally. (See the abstract; col. 7, line 13; col. 9, 58 – col. 10, line 45; and claim 3).

The only method step of the instant method is administering the effective amount of HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors, including lovastatin, to a patient suffered from the herein recited disorders, including psoriasis.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,871

Art Unit: 1617

Applicants' attention is directed to Ex parte Novitski, 26 USPQ2d 1389 (BOPA 1993) illustrating anticipation resulting from inherent use, absent a haec verba recitation for such utility. In the instant application, as in Ex parte Novitski, supra, the claims are directed to preventing a malady or disease with old and well known compounds or compositions. It is now well settled law that administering compounds inherently possessing a protective utility anticipates claims directed to such treatment use. Arguments that such treatment use is not set forth haec verba are not probative. Prior use for the same utility clearly anticipates such utility, absent limitations distancing the proffered claims from the inherent anticipated use. Attempts to distance claims from anticipated utilities with specification limitations will not be successful. At page 1391, Ex parte Novitski, supra, the Board said "We are mindful that, during the patent examination, pending claims must be interpreted as broadly as their terms reasonably allow. In re Zletz, 893 F.2d 319, 13 USPQ2d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 1989). As often stated by the CCPA, "we will not read into claims in pending applications limitations from the specification." In re Winkhaus, 52 F.2d 637, 188 USPQ 219 (CCPA 1975).". In the instant application, Applicants' failure to distance the proffered claims from the anticipated treatment utility, renders such claims anticipated by the prior inherent use.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5-6, and 15-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (703) 305-1002. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,871

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

San-ming Hui Patent Examiner Art Unit 1617

> SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1/23/04